general population studies, a disease can nevertheless be found to be tied in some way to the HLA complex. As the HLA story continues to unfold, we will learn more about these important antigens in ophthalmology.

IRVING H. LEOPOLD, MD, DSc

## REFERENCES

Aviner Z, Henley WL, Fotino N, et al: Histocompatibility (HL-A) antigens and primary open-angle glaucoma. Tissue Antigens 7:193-200, Apr 1976

Damgaard-Jensen L, Kissmeyer-Nielsen F: Histocompatibility antigens in open-angle glaucoma. Acta Ophthalmol 56:384-388, Jun 1978

Kass MA, Palmberg P, Becker B, et al: Histocompatibility antigens and primary open-angle glaucoma: A reassessment. Arch Ophthalmol 96:2207-2208, Dec 1978

Ritch R, Podos SM, Henley W, et al: Lack of association of histocompatibility antigens with primary open-angle glaucoma. Arch Ophthalmol 96:2204-2206, Dec 1978

## **Automated Perimetry**

AUTOMATED PERIMETRY, like computerized axial tomography (CAT scan), is one of the exciting newer developments from the computer age. Ophthalmologists for years have purchased numerous perimetric devices in an attempt to more efficiently evaluate visual field defects. Since 1975 we have had the opportunity at the University of California, Davis to help design and evaluate several of these automated perimeters. Our initial skepticism about automated perimetry has been replaced by genuine optimism. We are inclined to agree with Fankhauser and associates and Heijl and co-workers who found automated screening superior to conventional kinetic perimetry.

The ideal automated perimeter should offer several advantages over manual techniques and include the following features: (1) precise detection and assessment of visual field defects of all types with a negligible false alarm rate, (2) accurate monitoring of progressive visual field loss, (3) standardization of stimulus conditions in test procedures, (4) electronic monitoring of eye movement, (5) reduction in examination time, (6) administration of testing procedures by persons with minimal or no perimetric training and (7) reasonable purchase price.

Unfortunately, physicians have been inundated by a variety of first generation automated perimeters. Because decisions about treatment modalities are frequently based on perimetric data, we feel it is mandatory that these perimeters be validated by controlled clinical studies to assess whether they fulfill the criteria for an ideal automated perimeter. Without such controlled published data, a physician will not be able to make an intelligent decision as to which automated perimeter is appropriate for his or her practice. This is no small decision because automated perimeters can range in price from \$4,000 to \$100,000.

Most automated perimeters use a static or suprathreshold static program. Some devices have kinetic programs but the versatility of static programs is becoming evident. Goldmann kinetic perimetry is the well established standard. However, it is likely that when physicians become familiar with static testing, the advantages of static and suprathreshold static perimetry may well replace kinetic programs.

In summary, the usefulness of automated perimetry is a reality. When automated perimeters are properly used and their findings validated by controlled studies, they can be excellent detectors of visual field defects. The assessment of visual field defects is somewhat limited, however, by the automated perimeters available at present. Nonetheless, as newer generations of automated perimeters are developed, it actually may be possible to see a computerized three-dimensional view of the island of vision with scotomas scooped out of the island. In the future, there may be nothing about visual fields left to the imagination.

JOHN L. KELTNER, MD CHRIS A. JOHNSON, MD

## REFERENCES

Fankhauser F, Bebie H, Spahr J: 3 years of experience with the OCTOPUS automatic perimeter, In Proceedings II International Visual Field Symposium, Tübinger, 1976. Doc Ophthalmol Proc Series 14, 1977 pp 7-15

Frankhauser F, Spahr J, Bebie H: Some aspects of the automation of perimetry. Surv Ophthalmol 22:131-141, Sep-Oct 1977 Heijl A: Automatic perimetry in glaucoma visual field screening: A clinical study. Albrecht von Graefes Arch Klin Ophthalmol 200:21-37, Jul 1976

Johnson CA, Keltner JL, Balestrery FG: Suprathreshold static perimetry in glaucoma and other optic nerve disease. Ophthalmol (In press)

Keltner JL, Johnson CA, Balestrery FG: Suprathreshold static perimetry: Initial clinical trials with the Fieldmaster automated perimeter. Arch Ophthalmol 97:260-272, Feb 1979

Portney GL, Krohn MA: Automated perimetry: Background, instruments and methods. Surv Ophthalmol 22:271-278, Jan-Feb 1078

## Lasers in Ophthalmology

HISTORICALLY, ruby lasers were the first lasers used clinically in ophthalmology. A ruby laser utilizes the photostimulation of a ruby crystal to produce coherent red light. More recently, argon lasers have gained general acceptance over other visible spectrum laser systems. This type of laser utilizes electrical stimulation or argon gas to produce a coherent green beam that is dependent